

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/832,319 | 04/09/2001 | Robert Houben | 41696/DBP/L379 | 8790 | |
| 23363 | 7590 09/26/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP | | | NGUYEN BA, PAUL H | | |
| PO BOX 706 | 58 L, CA 91109-7068 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 1110110 | , 0 ,, | | 2176 | - | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|---|
| Advisory Action | 09/832,319 | HOUBEN ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| - | Paul Nguyen-Ba | 2176 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | orrespondence add | iress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nature at a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this | | in the final rejection, wh | vichovor is later. In |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of cunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in con | extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da b). pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection, the filed within two monti | riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed, hs of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection | d within the time period set forth in | 37 CFR 41.37(a). | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further of the control of the contro | onsideration and/or search (see NC | OTE below); | |
| (c) They raise the issue of new matter (see No 12 see (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling | a corresponding number of finally re | jected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1 | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 | 121. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be | | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | n) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ worded below or appended. | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a showing of good and action are applicant failed to provide a showing of good and action are applicant failed to provide a showing of good and action are applicant failed to provide a showing of good actions are applicant failed to provide a showing and actions are applicant failed and actions are applicant failed to provide a showing action and actions are applicant failed and actions are applicant faile | but before or on the date of filing a Nand sufficient reasons why the affida | Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered is necessary and |

entered essary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

PNB

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's notable and outstanding arguments are substantially directed to the newly amended subject matter. These amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search to circumstantiate a favorable decision on the issuance of allowability.

Olemis Barbae
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
9/12/2005